# United States Patent and Trademark Office

<u>U</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,790	12/21/2005	Hiroki Nakamura	283682US0PCT	4348
22850 7590 08/23/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			ASINOVSKY, OLGA	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		1				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/561,790	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Olga Asinovsky	1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  y be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De	ecember 2005.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2005</u> is/ar		biected to by the Examiner			
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	•	· · <b>· · · ·</b>			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		ceived in this National Stage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ceived			
		or with defining depictor floor				
Attachment	• •	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date			
3) 🔯 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 12/21/2005.		mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1711

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Misspelled (meth)acrylate at page 9, lines 13, 15, 22 and 23.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Usui et al U.S. Patent 6,800,688.

Usui discloses a modified polyolefin resin by grafting a (meth)acrylic ester (B), wherein a (meth)acrylic ester includes cyclohexyl (meth)acrylate that is readable in the present claims 1 and 4. The modified polyolefin resin can include a hydroxyethyl (meth)acrylate that is readable in the present claim 5, column 5, lines 16-17. the modified polyolefin resin can be used by itself or as a component for providing adhesive, primer, paint and ink, column 7, lines 17-19, for the present claims 6-8. The presence of unsaturated polycarboxylic acid as a

Art Unit: 1711

grafting monomer is not excluded in the present claims. The claimed modified polyolefin resin is anticipated by the disclosure in Usui invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma U.S. Patent 6,472,463 taken as individual reference or in view of Arashiro et al U.S. Patent 5,424,359.

Ma discloses grafted backbone polymer formed from polymerized ethylenically unsaturated monomers with a polymerizable monomer including t-butyl methacrylate, t-butyl cyclohexyl (meth)acrylate, cyclohexyl (meth)acrylate, column 3, lines 30, 35-36, 49-50, for the present claims 1-2 and 4. The graft copolymer further contains a grafted monomer having hydroxyl functional group such as 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, column 6, lines 41-43, for the present claim 5. The grafted copolymer can be used as primers, column 7, line 59.

Ma does not name a polyolefin backbone polymer. However, Ma discloses backbone polymer formed from polymerized ethylenically unsaturated monomers.

In light of the motivation that backbone polymer is formed from polymerized ethylenically unsaturated monomers in Ma invention, it would have

Application/Control Number: 10/561,790

Art Unit: 1711

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to consider that the claimed polyolefin backbone resin is readable in said polymer formed from polymerized ethylenically unsaturated monomer in the absence of evidence to the contrary, and since polymer formed from polymerized ethylenically unsaturated monomer belongs to the polyolefin type resins.

Arashiro discloses a graft modified polyolefin type resin backbone with an alpha, beta-unsaturated carboxylate having a hydroxyl group.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute backbone polymer formed from polymerized ethylenically unsaturated monomers in Ma invention with a polyolefin type resin backbone by teaching in Arashiro invention because a polyolefin resin and a polymer formed from polymerized ethylenically unsaturated monomer belong to the same type of polyolefin resins.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References have been considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,790 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 13, 2007

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700